

2024 Detained Master Calendar and Bond Hearing-Immigration Court Observation

Date: _____ Observer (full name): _____

Shift start time: 8:30 10:00 or 10:30 1:30 3:00

GENERAL

1. Last 3 digits of A#: _____ 2. Country of origin : _____

3. Gender: Male Female Transgender/ non-binary Unsure

4. Respondent Appears: in Person via Video phone/audio Didn't appear, reason: _____

5. Judge: Carr Hansen Ivany Mazzie Miller Sardelli Wood Zaske Other: _____

Judge appears: in Person via Video phone/audio

6. DHS Attorney: _____ Appears: in Person via Video phone/audio didn't appear

7. Was the respondent represented? in Person via Video phone/audio No, pro se Attorney failed to appear

Comment: _____

8. Type of Hearing : Custody/ Bond Removal Unknown Other _____

9. Detention Location: Freeborn Kandiyohi Sherburne Other: _____

IHP (Prison): _____ Unknown

10. Respondent's best language: _____ 11. What language (interpreter) provided: _____

12. Interpreter appears? Not needed in Person via Video phone/audio Not available Attorney waived

13. Interpretation (check all that apply) : Complete Incomplete Technical problems Multiple attempts to find

Not in preferred language Comment: _____ removed consecutive/simult

BOND HEARING (skip if no bond hearing scheduled today)

14. Did both parties agree to bond amount in advance? Yes, \$ _____ No (if yes, skip remaining bond ?s)

15. Bond amount requested? \$ _____ No amount specified

16. DHS's principle argument: _____ DHS did not speak

17. Outcome: Bond Granted Bond Denied Withdrew bond request Continuance granted

Advised to request new hearing when ready Judge will issue written decision

18. if Granted, Bond Amount: \$ _____

19. If denied, why? Ineligible/mandatory detention Danger to society Flight risk Other _____

20. What factors were mentioned in granting or denying bond? _____

21. Did parties reserve appeal on bond? DHS: Yes No, waived Respondent: Yes No, waived Judge didn't ask

22. If Continued, next bond hearing: _____ No date given

REMOVAL HEARING

23. Pleadings taken today? Yes No If yes, select all that apply: concedes all in NTA denies some or all in NTA

denies receipt of NTA denies understanding NTA attorney doesn't have NTA declines designate country

Comment: _____

24. Discussion of relief? Not discussed Ineligible for any form of relief

Judge screened for eligibility,
eligible to apply

Submitted application today

Application Before USCIS

Submitted application previously

Unsure/ Other: _____

25. Relief applications requested, to be submitted, or pending? Not discussed Ineligible for any form of relief
 Cancellation: 42A (LPR) 42B I-589 Asylum / WOR / CAT U-Visa or T-Visa
 Voluntary Departure Adjustment of Status (I-485) Other/ unsure: _____

26. Comment: _____

27. Did respondent ask to be removed (deported)? Yes No If asked for deportation, what did respondent say?

28. DHS's principle argument: _____ didn't speak

29. Outcome: Continuance granted Removal order (Deportation) Termination/Dismissal Trial queue
 Relief granted. Specify: _____

30. If continued, next hearing Date: _____ Time: _____

31. If judge made final decision did parties reserve appeal?

DHS: Yes No, waived Respondent: Yes No, waived Judge didn't ask Unsure

CRIMINAL HISTORY

32. Was a criminal history mentioned? Not Discussed No criminal Hx All convictions All cases are pending
 Arrests but no charges All cases acquitted/dismissed Mixed History Unknown outcome of charges/ cases

Comments: _____

33. Any indication of discriminatory policing? Yes No Unsure Not discussed Comment: _____

EQUITIES

34. Length of time in the US: _____ Unknown

35. Family in the US? Yes: _____ No Unknown

36. Supporters in court? Family Other supporters Can't tell None Supporters acknowledged

HEALTH

37. Any concern about mental health, physical illness, or competency Yes No Unsure

Explain: _____

38. **IMPRESSIONS:** What barriers did Respondent face and how did court address these? Does the respondent understand happened and what is expected? How did the court recognize the humanity and individuality of the respondent? What had the most impact on you? What questions did this raise?

39. New procedures, arguments, policies noted: